BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Consolidated Matters of:	
STUDENT,	OAH CASE NO. 2012020458; 2012020005; and 2012090247
v.	
TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO REDACT WITHOUT PREJUDICE
AND RELATED ACTIONS	

The hearing in this matter was taken under submission on December 10, 2012, upon receipt of the parties' written closing argument. On that same date, Student filed a motion to reopen the record to add a declaration and attachments. On December 12, 2012, the Temecula Valley Unified School District (District) filed a response. The District did not oppose Student's motion, provided that the District was also permitted to add a declaration and attachments to the record. OAH granted both motions on December 20, 2012.

On December 26, 2012, Student filed a motion to redact information from the District's documents. Student contends that those documents contain confidential information about Student's sibling. On December 27, 2012, the District filed an opposition, contending that the District already redacted the child's name from the documents filed with OAH.

Upon reviewing the documents the District filed, it appears the District is correct – information was already redacted from the filed document. On that basis, Student's motion is denied without prejudice. If Student believes there is still information that must be redacted, Student may file another motion, specifically describing which information still remains in the document that Student believes should be redacted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 10, 2013

/s/

SUSAN RUFF Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings